

Oxidative and Reductive Carbodiazenylation of Nonactivated Olefins

Markus R. Heinrich,* Olga Blank, and Alexander Wetzel

Organische Chemie I, Technische Universität München, D-85747 Garching, Germany

markus.heinrich@ch.tum.de

Received September 18, 2006



Procedures for the carbodiazenylation of nonactivated olefins with a wide range of aryldiazonium salts have been developed. The azo compounds obtained can serve as valuable precursors for β -arylamines (carboamination products), β -amino acids, ketones, and various heterocyclic structures.

Introduction

The carbodiazenylation of olefins was first observed by Levisalles and Rudler in 1976 during mechanistic studies of the Meerwein arylation (Scheme 1).^{1,2} In the presence of copper-(I) ions, the *p*-chlorophenyldiazonium salt **1** is reduced to give aryl radicals **2** after loss of nitrogen. Various monosubstituted, nonactivated olefins **3** were used to trap the highly reactive radical intermediates **2**. In the following step, the secondary radical **4** reacts with another aryldiazonium ion **1** to give radical cation **5**, which is finally reduced to azo compound **6**. The successful addition to the olefins **3** can be regarded as a proof for the radical character of the Meerwein reaction.

At this time, aryldiazonium ions were already well-known as potent electrophiles for azo couplings³ and Japp-Klingemann⁴ reactions. Though they had also been widely applied as sources for aryl radicals,⁵ aryldiazonium ions had never before been described as nitrogen-centered radical scavengers. Similar observations and results were reported some years later by Citterio. Titanium(III) as a reducing agent gave the best results for the carbodiazenylation of 4-methyl-3-penten-2-one with *p*-chlorophenyldiazonium ions.⁶ Though the reaction seemed to be limited to suitably substituted α,β -unsaturated olefins, it was

(4) (a) Phillips, R. R. Org. React. **1959**, 10, 143–178. (b) Yao, H. C.; Resnick, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1962**, 84, 3514–3517. (c) Kozikowski, A. P.; Floyd, W. C. Tetrahedron Lett. **1978**, 19, 19–22.

(5) (a) Galli, C. Chem. Rev. **1988**, 88, 765–792. (b) Galli, C. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans 2 **1984**, 897–902.

SCHEME 1. Carbodiazenylation Observed by Levisalles and Rudler



successfully applied for the synthesis of pyrazole derivatives.⁷ Kinetic studies by Citterio showed that aryldiazonium salts are very efficient scavengers for nucleophilic tertiary radicals ($k = 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 5 °C), whereas less nucleophilic primary alkyl radicals react significantly slower ($k = 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$).⁸ Attempts to achieve the carbodiazenylation of electron-rich olefins led in some cases to formation of aldehydes.⁹ With ethyl vinyl ether and phenyldiazonium ions as reactants, the electron-transfer from

10.1021/jo061919p CCC: \$37.00 © 2007 American Chemical Society Published on Web 12/29/2006

⁽¹⁾ Al Adel, I.; Salami, B. A.; Levisalles, J.; Rudler, H. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1976, 934-938.

⁽²⁾ For Meerwein arylation, see: Rondestvedt, C. S. Org. React. 1976, 24, 225–259.

⁽³⁾ Zollinger, H. Color Chemistry: syntheses, properties and applications of organic dyes and pigments; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, New York, 1987.

⁽⁶⁾ Citterio, A.; Minisci, F.; Albinati, A.; Bruckner, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1980**, *21*, 2909–2910.

⁽⁷⁾ Citterio, A.; Ramperti, M.; Vismara, E. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1981, 18, 763-766.

^{(8) (}a) Citterio, A.; Minisci, F.; Vismara, E. J. Org. Chem. **1982**, 47, 81–88. (b) Citterio, A.; Minisci, F. J. Org. Chem. **1982**, 47, 1759–1761.

⁽⁹⁾ Minsci, F.; Coppa, F.; Fontana, F.; Pianese, G.; Zhao, L. J. Org. Chem. **1992**, 57, 3929–3933.

the radical intermediate 4 (Scheme 1, R = OEt) to give the corresponding cation is the preferred process over the diazo coupling reaction. In the case of the less electron-rich olefin vinyl acetate (Scheme 1, R = OAc), the expected carbodiazenvlation product was observed in the reaction with phenyldiazonium ions, whereas p-cyanophenyldiazonium salts led again to the aldehyde. Small structural changes in both the diazonium salt and the olefin can therefore have a dramatic influence on the reaction pathway.9 We were recently able to find suitable conditions for the reductive carbodiazenylation of nonactivated olefins with aryldiazonium salts.¹⁰ An extension of the method toward aliphatic substituents via an intermediate iodine transfer has been reported as well as a diastereoselective approach via macrocyclization.¹¹ The synthesis of comparable azo compounds by ionic methods has been achieved by Baldwin via alkylations of azo anions¹² and thermal ene reactions.¹³

In recent years, sulfonyl azides have become the most wellknown nitrogen-centered radical scavengers. The related carboazidation of olefins has been developed and intensively studied by Renaud.¹⁴ Diastereoselective versions allowed an application for the synthesis of the natural product hyacinthacine A_1 .¹⁵ Next to sulfonyl azides and diazonium salts, radical additions to diazirines¹⁶ and thionitrosyl compounds¹⁷ have been described by Barton and Motherwell. Radical additions to azo dicarboxylates¹⁸ have been reported by Cadogan.

Since the N–N double bond of azo compounds can be easily cleaved to the amine equivalents by hydrogenation, the carbodiazenylation reaction also represents the first part of an effective route to carboamination products. Several non-radical-based attempts have recently been made to achieve carboamination of nonactivated olefins and acetylenes. Among the organometallic methods, substituted pent-4-enylamines have been cyclized to give pyrrolidines via palladium catalysis.¹⁹ 2-Alkynylsubstituted anilines²⁰ as well as isocyanates²¹ have served as precursors for indoles in the presence of transition metals, and

(10) Heinrich, M. R.; Blank, O.; Wölfel, S. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3323-3325.

- (11) (a) Blank, O.; Heinrich, M. R. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, 4331–4334; (b) Heinrich, M. R.; Blank, O.; Wetzel, A. *Synlett* **2006**, 3352–3354.
- (12) (a) Adlington, R. M.; Baldwin, J. E.; Bottaro, J. C.; Perry, M. W. D. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 1040–1041. (b) Baldwin, J. E.; Bottaro, J. C.; Kolhe, J. N.; Adlington, R. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 22–23. (c) Baldwin, J. E.; Adlington, R. M.; Bottaro, J. C.; Jain, A. U.; Kolhe, J. N.; Perry, M. W. D.; Newington, I. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 1095–1096.

(13) Baldwin, J. E.; Adlington, R. M.; Jain, A. U.; Kolhe, J. N.; Perry,
 M. W. D. *Tetrahedron* 1986, 42, 4247–4252.

(14) (a) Ollivier, C.; Renaud, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 6496–6497.
(b) Ollivier, C.; Renaud, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 4717–4727.
(c) Panchaud, P.; Renaud, P. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 3205–3207.
(d) Panchaud, P.; Ollivier, C.; Renaud, P.; Zigmantas, S. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 2755–2759.

(15) (a) Chabaud, L.; Landais, Y.; Renaud, P. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 2587–2590. (b) Chabaud, L.; Landais, Y.; Renaud, P. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 4257–4260.

(16) Barton, D. H. R.; Jaszberenyi, J. C.; Theodorakis, E. A.; Reibenspies, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1993**, 115, 8050–8059.

(17) Girard, P.; Guillot, N.; Motherwell, W. B.; Potier, P. Chem. Commun. 1995, 2385-2386.

(18) Baigrie, B. D.; Cadogan, J. I. G.; Sharp, J. T. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1975, 1065–1067.

(19) (a) Nakhla, J. S.; Kampf, J. W.; Wolfe, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2006**, *128*, 2893–2901. (b) Ney, J. E.; Hay, M. B.; Yang, Q.; Wolfe, J. P. Adv. Synth. Catal. **2005**, *347*, 1614–1620. (c) Yang, Q.; Ney, J. E.; Wolfe, J. P. Org. Lett. **2005**, *7*, 2575–2578.

(20) Fürstner, A.; Davies, P. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 15024–15025.

(21) Kamijo, S.; Yamamoto, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 3230–3233.





SCHEME 3. Oxidative Carbodiazenylation (Method A)



SCHEME 4. Oxidative Carbodiazenylation: Mechanism



imines have been reacted with alkynes using zirconium²² and titanium²³ catalysts.

Results and Discussion

Oxidative Carbodiazenylation with Arylhydrazines. We first observed the carbodiazenylation of olefins in oxidative degradation experiments with substituted phenylhydrazines. The natural product stephanosporin (7), which was isolated from the carrot truffle *Stephanospora caroticolor*, was known to decompose, upon oxidation, to 2-chloro-4-nitrophenol (8) and succinic anhydride (9) via a mechanism that involves aryl radicals (Schemes 2 and 4).²⁴

In one of our experiments, treatment of the simplified *N*-phenyl-*N'*-succinylhydrazine $(10a)^{25}$ with ceric ammonium nitrate (CAN) in a mixture of allyl acetate and methanol gave

⁽²²⁾ Ruck, R. T.; Zuckerman, R. L.; Krska, S. W.; Bergman, R. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5372–5374.

⁽²³⁾ Basuli, F.; Aneetha, H.; Huffman, J. C.; Mindiola, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 17992–17993.

⁽²⁴⁾ Lang, M.; Spiteller, P.; Hellwig, V.; Steglich, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 1704-1705.

⁽²⁵⁾ Kühle, E.; Klauke, E.; Frohberger, P. E.; Bayer, A. G. Ger. Pat. 2712434, **1978**.

the carbodiazenylation product **6a** in 26% yield. The reaction was carried out at room temperature in degassed solvents under argon atmosphere. A strong evolution of nitrogen was observed immediately after the oxidant was added. The same yield of 26% was obtained with the 2-chloro derivative **10b**, which led to azo compound **6b**. When hydrazine **10a** was reacted with norbornene, the cis-substituted carbodiazenylation product **6c** (24%) was isolated.

Since several other 1-acyl-2-arylhydrazines are known to decompose via aryl radicals,²⁶ we tried to optimize the reaction by exchanging the succinyl group attached to the hydrazine **10a** for a phthaloyl or acetyl moiety. These experiments led to yields of **6a** in the range of only 10–20%. The use of unsubstituted phenylhydrazine gave 12% of **6a**. Reactions at temperatures other than room temperature, slow addition of ceric ammonium nitrate, as well as the use of other oxidants did not improve the result either.

The first part of the reaction mechanism shown in Scheme 4 is similar to the steps proposed for oxidative degradation of stephanosporin (7) (Scheme 2). Hydrazine 10a is oxidized to diazene 11 which eliminates succinic anhydride (9) to give phenyldiazene (12).²⁷ The outcome of the oxidative carbodiazenylation is influenced mainly by two factors. First, diazene 12 has to serve as a source for phenyl radicals 13^{27} as well as for phenyldiazonium ions 15. The diazonium ions 15 are needed for the trapping of intermediate 14, but probably do not exist in high concentrations since they are continuously produced. Numerous oxidations of arylhydrazines to aryldiazonium salts via aryldiazenes are known in the literature and proceed with oxidants such as selenium dioxide,^{28a} bromine or chlorine,^{28b} nitric acid,28c copper(II),28d peroxides,28e and several others.28f We verified the presence of phenyldiazonium ions by an azocoupling reaction.²⁹ When β -naphthol and sodium carbonate were added to the reaction mixture after the degradation of 10a with CAN, the azo-coupling product 1-phenylazonaphthalen-2-ol³⁰ was isolated in 32% yield. The second problematic feature of the mechanism depicted in Scheme 4 is that a reductive step has to occur from 14 to product 6a (see also Scheme 1, $5 \rightarrow 6$) under oxidative conditions. The only potential reductant present in the reaction mixture seems to be the solvent methanol. Aliphatic alcohols like methanol and ethanol are among other solvents well-known for the dediazotization of aryl diazonium salts.^{31,32} The temperature at which the process starts usually depends on the substituents attached to the aromatic ring of the diazonium salt. Since the alcohol-mediated dediazotization also proceeds via aryl radicals, the whole carbodiazenylation process should occur with methanol as the only reductant, given that

SCHEME 5. Thermal Reductive Carbodiazenylation (Method B)



methanol is able to reduce diazonium radical cations to azo compounds (Scheme 1, $5 \rightarrow 6$).

Carbodiazenylation by Thermal Decomposition of Aryldiazonium Salts. To verify this hypothesis, *o*-chlorophenyldiazonium tetrafluoroborate (**15a**)³³ was dissolved in a degassed 1:1 mixture of allyl acetate and methanol. Stirring overnight at room temperature under argon led to low conversions, but up to 45% of carbodiazenylation product **6b** was isolated after 30 min when the solution was warmed to 60 °C (Scheme 4). Kinetic experiments showed that the presence of oxygen in the reaction mixture leads to delayed product formation.^{31f}

As expected, heating under reflux led to a faster dediazotization of the diazonium salt **15a** ($t_{1/2} \sim 10$ min), but at this temperature (approximately 75 °C) the product **6b** also starts to suffer from significant degradation (Scheme 5). At 60 °C, the formation of side products from **6b** is sufficiently slow to allow moderate yields. Nevertheless, the process is troubled by polymerization and telomerization of allyl acetate.³⁴ These processes obviously start to occur toward the end of the reaction when the concentration of diazonium ions is too low for an effective trapping of the radical intermediates. As the oxidative and thermal reactions have already shown that the carbodiazenylation of nonactivated olefins can be achieved with higher yields than reported in the mechanistic studies of Levisalles and Rudler,¹ we turned toward an optimization of the reductant to allow a better controlled reaction at low temperatures.

Reductive Carbodiazenylation with Acceptor-Substituted Anilines. The reductants iron(II), titanium(III), and copper(I) as well as mixtures of these were used in test reactions for the carbodiazenylation. The results of the optimized process are summarized in Table 1. The best conditions that were found for the test reaction of methyl 4-aminobenzoate (**16d**) and allyl acetate (**3a**) (Table 1, entry 4) also gave good yields for all other aromatic amines **16** except for unsubstituted aniline (**16a**). In the latter case, a mixture of titanium(III) and iron (II) (method D) had to be used instead of titanium(III) as single reductant

⁽²⁶⁾ For the oxidative degradation of 1-acyl-2-arylhydrazines, see: (a) Bowman, W. R.; Forshaw, J. A.; Hall, K. P.; Kitchin, J. P; Mott, A. W. *Tetrahedron* **1996**, *52*, 3961–3972. (b) Milne, H. B.; Kilday, W. *J. Org. Chem.* **1965**, *30*, 64–66. (c) Völkert, M.; Koul, S.; Müller, G. H.; Lehnig, M.; Waldmann, H. *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 6902–6910.

⁽²⁷⁾ For stability of aryl diazenes, see: (a) Cohen, S. G.; Nicholson, J. J. Org. Chem. 1965, 30, 1162–1168. (b) Cohen, S. G.; Nicholson, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 2247–2252. (c) Huang, P. C.; Kosower, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 3910–3911.

^{(28) (}a) Postowsky, I. J.; Lugowkin, B. P.; Mandryk, G. F. Chem. Ber. **1936**, 69, 1913–1915. (b) Chattaway, F. D. J. Chem. Soc. **1908**, 93, 952– 960. (c) Charrier, G. Gazz. Chim. Ital. **1915**, 45, 516–523. (d) Nakano, S.; Enoki, H.; Kawashima, T. Chem. Lett. **1980**, 1173–1176. (e) Hoffman, R. V.; Kumar, A. J. Org. Chem. **1984**, 49, 4014–4017. (f) Pütter, R. Houben-Weyl, Methoden der Organischen Chemie, 4. Auflage, Band X/3, 78–83. (29) Hauser, C. R.; Breslow, D. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1941**, 63, 418– 420

⁽³⁰⁾ Alarcón, S. H.; Olivieri, A. C; Sanz, D.; Claramunt, R. M.; Elguero, J. J. Mol. Struct. 2004, 705, 1.

⁽³¹⁾ For reductive degradation of diazonium salts in the presence of methanol under neutral or acidic conditions two pathways have been postulated: $ArN_2^+ + HOCH_3 \rightarrow ArN_2^+ + [HOCH_3]^{++}$, (ref a) $ArN_2^{-} \rightarrow Ar^*$ (ref a) $ArN_2^{+} \rightarrow HOR \rightleftharpoons ArN_2^{-} \rightarrow HR \rightleftharpoons ArN_2 - OR + H^+ \rightarrow ArN_2^{+} + O-R$ (ref b) $ArN_2^{-} \rightarrow Ar^*$ (ref a). (a) Bunnett, J. F.; Yijima, C. J. Org. Chem. **1977**, 42, 639-643. (b) Tröndlin, F.; Rüchardt, C. Chem. Ber. **1977**, 110, 2494. (c) Broxton, T. J.; Bunnett, J. F.; Paik, C. H. J. Org. Chem. **1977**, 42, 643-649. (d) DeTar, D. F.; Turetzky, M. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1958**, 80, 6072-6077. (f) Bunnett, J. F.; Takayama, H. J. Org. Chem. **1968**, 33, 1924-1928. (g) Pazo-Llorente, R.; Maskill, H.; Bravo-Diaz, C.; Gonzalez-Romero, E.; Eur. J. Org. Chem. **2006**, 2201-2209.

⁽³²⁾ Dediazoniation of aryldiazonium ions in various solvents: (a) DMF: Markgraf, J. H.; Chang, R.; Cort, J. R.; Durant, J. L.; Finkelstein, M.; Gross, A. W.; Lavyne, M. H.; Moore, W. M.; Petersen, R. C.; Ross, S. D. *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 10009–10018. (b) Wassmundt, F. W.; Kiesman, W. F.; J. Org. Chem. **1997**, *62*, 8304–8308. (c) Pazo-Llorente, R.; Bravo-Diaz, C.; Gonzalez-Romero, E. Eur. J. Org. Chem. **2003**, 3421–3428.

⁽³³⁾ Synthesis of aryldiazonium tetrafluoroborates, see ref 31f.

⁽³⁴⁾ Hill, D. J. T.; O'Donnell, H. J.; Perera, M. C. S; Pomery, P. J. *Eur. Polym. J.* **1997**, *33*, 1353.

TABLE 1. Reductive Carbodiazenylation with Acceptor-Substituted Anilines (Methods C and D)



		aniline 16			olefin 3			
		\mathbb{R}^1	R ²		R ³	\mathbb{R}^4	product	yield ^a (%)
1	16a	Н	Н	3a	CH ₂ OAc	Н	6a	$47^{c} (23)^{b}$
2	16b	o-Cl	Н	3a	CH ₂ OAc	Н	6b	$67^{b}(61)^{c}$
3	16c	m-Cl	p-CO ₂ H	3a	CH ₂ OAc	Н	6d	55 ^c
4	16d	p-CO ₂ Me	Ĥ	3a	CH ₂ OAc	Н	6e	80^{b}
5	16e	o-CO ₂ Me	Н	3a	CH ₂ OAc	Н	6f	$59^{b} (64)^{d}$
6	16f	p-CF ₃	Н	3a	CH ₂ OAc	Н	6g	68 ^b
7	16d	p-CO ₂ Me	Н	3c	CH ₂ CN	Н	6ĥ	70^{b}
8	16d	<i>p</i> -CO ₂ Me	Н	3d	(CH ₂) ₂ COMe	Н	6i	60^{b}
9	16d	p-CO ₂ Me	Н	3e	CH ₂ OAc	Me	6j	$58^{b,e}$
10	16d	p-CO ₂ Me	Н	3f	CH ₂ OH	Me	6k	$73^{b,e}$

^{*a*} Isolated yield after column chromatography. ^{*b*} Method C (2.2 equiv of TiCl₃). ^{*c*} Method D (1.1 equiv of TiCl₃ + 4.0 equiv of FeSO₄). ^{*d*} Reaction on greater scale (5×). ^{*e*} Byproduct: 10–20% hydrazine.

(method C). Both methods C and D are one-pot procedures that start from the aniline derivatives. Diazotation is achieved under standard conditions with sodium nitrite in 10% sulfuric acid at 0 °C. The subsequent addition of 20 vol % methanol to the reaction mixture enhanced the solubility of the olefins, while the finally formed products remained mostly insoluble. This insolubility protects the azo compounds from the acidic conditions of the reaction mixture. Isomerization to the hydrazones^{10,35,36} and over-reduction to the hydrazines¹⁰ by the excess of reductant therefore occur only to a very limited extent. The reactions (methods C and D) do not require dried or degassed solvents or a protective gas atmosphere. The removal of oxygen from the reaction mixture is secured by the reductant titanium-(III).

16

The poor result which was obtained with method C and unsubstituted aniline **16a** already indicated that titanium(III) in combination with strong acidic conditions is probably not the best reductant for carbodiazenylations with electron-rich anilines or diazonium salts. Therefore, not surprisingly, an attempt with p-methoxyaniline and allyl acetate (**3a**) under the conditions of method C gave only 5% of the desired carbodiazenylation product.

Reductive Carbodiazenylation with Donor-Substituted Anilines. In order to close this open gap of substrates for carbodiazenylation, we tried to develop suitable conditions for the coupling of electron-rich aromatics to nonactivated double bonds. To avoid the strongly acidic conditions we chose to use the readily available and stable diazonium tetrafluoroborates as reactants. Selected results of the optimization are summarized in Table 2.

The first attempts with iron(II)sulfate heptahydrate in pure DMSO proceeded sluggishly, but when small amounts of water were added to the mixture, the reaction times (as indicated by

TABLE 2. Optimization of Reaction Conditions for Method E^a



	reductant (equiv) ^b	reaction time (min)	solvents (mL)	yield ^c (%)
1	FeSO ₄ (3)	15	DMSO $(2) + H_2O(0.1)$	62
2	FeSO ₄ (3)	15	DMSO $(2) + H_2O(0.5)$	55
3	$FeSO_4(3)$	15	DMSO $(2) + H_2O(2)$	11
4	FeSO ₄ (1.5)	15	DMSO $(2) + H_2O(1)$	59
5	FeSO ₄ (1.0)	15	DMSO $(2) + H_2O(1)$	58
6	FeSO ₄ (0.5)	15	DMSO $(2) + H_2O(1)$	52
7	FeSO ₄ (3)	60	DMSO $(2) + H_2O(0.1)$	59
8	$FeSO_4(3)$	15	Acetone (2) $+$ H ₂ O (1)	3
9	$FeSO_4(3)$	15	$DMF(2) + H_2O(1)$	14
10	$Cu_{powder}(3)$	15	DMSO $(2) + H_2O(1)$	26
11	CuCl (3)	15	DMSO $(2) + H_2O(1)$	59

^{*a*} All reactions were carried out with degassed solvents under argon atmosphere using 0.45 mmol of **15b** and 1.20 mmol of methallyl alcohol (**3f**). ^{*b*} Equivalents based on diazonium salt **15b**. ^{*c*} Yield determined by HPLC with methyl benzoate as internal standard.

nitrogen evolution) became notably shorter. A further increase of the aqueous part in the solvent mixture led to decreasing yields (Table 2, entries 1–3). Variation of the amount of iron-(II) gave almost equal yields, as long its quantity did not fall below the stoichiometrically necessary amount of 1 equiv of iron(II) per diazonium salt (entries 1, 4, and 5). The observation that acceptable results can even be obtained with substoichiometric iron(II) supports the above assumption that the second reductive step (from the radical cation to the azo compound, Scheme 1, $5 \rightarrow 6$) can also be accomplished by suitable solvents (entry 6). In this case, DMSO, like methanol before, seems to be acting as reductant for the azo radical cations. An experiment with a prolonged reaction time of 1 h showed that almost no

⁽³⁵⁾ For studies on the isomerization of azo compounds and hydrazones, see: Kuznetsov, M. A.; Suvorov, A. A. *J. Org. Chem. USSR* **1982**, *18*, 1684–1691.

⁽³⁶⁾ We observed tautomerization of azo compound **6a** to the corresponding hydrazone in commercially available slightly acidic CDCl₃. NMR spectra of tautomerizable azo compounds were therefore measured in C_6D_6 .

 TABLE 3. Reductive Carbodiazenylation with Donor-Substituted

 Aryldiazonium Tetrafluoroborates (Method E)^a



^{*a*} Method E (1.5 equiv of FeSO₄). ^{*b*} Isolated yield after column chromatography.

product degradation takes place under the reaction conditions (entries 1 and 7). In contrast to the acidic and aqueous methods C and D, where the azo products need to be protected from side reactions by their own insolubility, method E is mild enough to cause no product degradation in a nearly homogeneous mixture. A change of the solvents to acetone or DMF did not lead to an improvement (entries 8 and 9). Attempts with copper powder as reductant gave lower yields compared to copper(I) chloride, which was shown to be as effective as iron (II) (entries 10 and 11).

Since iron(II) salts gave the best results and are readily available at low cost, we chose iron(II) as reductant and DMSO as solvent for our further experiments. The results of the experiments that were carried out to explore the scope and limitations of method E are shown in Table 3.

We were pleased to find that donor- as well as acceptorsubstituted aryldiazonium salts 15 gave the corresponding carbodiazenylation products 16 in synthetically useful yields. Only in the case of the electron-poor diazonium salt 15e does the yield obtained with method E (Table 3, entry 9, 52%) appear to be slightly lower than the result of procedure C (Table 1, entry 5, 64%). On the other hand, 20-30 equiv of olefin was used in method C compared to 2.5 equiv for procedure E. The general advantages of method E over the procedures C and D are the lower amount of olefin, the applicability for electronrich as well as for electron-poor aromatics, and the milder reaction conditions. As an example, the acid-labile Boc protecting group is well tolerated (Table 3, entry 8). Method E furthermore allows the carbodiazenvlation of nonpolar olefins.37 Methods C and D mainly benefit from the fact that they can be carried out as one-pot procedures without intermediate isolation of the diazonium salt. The reaction conditions are also less demanding since no degassed solvent is necessary. For all three methods C-E we often found an increase in yield when we were repeating the carbodiazenylation reactions on larger scales.





In general, the yields reported in Tables 1 and 3 are 5-10% higher when the reaction is carried out on a 5-10 times larger scale.

We have already reported the synthesis of a variety of heterocyclic structures which are directly accessible from the carbodiazenylation products.¹⁰ The hydrogenation of the azo compounds to give the two carboamination products works best with Raney nickel. Three further examples to demonstrate the synthetic potential of the carbodiazenvlation products are shown in Scheme 6. The first two transformations are based on the primary isomerization of the azo compounds to the corresponding aryl hydrazones. Only a few efficient procedures have been reported for the cleavage of hydrazones to ketones.^{38,39} We obtained ketone 17 by isomerization of azo compound 6f with potassium carbonate¹⁰ in methanol and subsequent treatment with oxalic acid following a procedure reported by Baldwin.¹³ In this way, ketone 17^{40} was obtained from 6f in 77% yield. Heating of azo compounds 6e and 6f in a mixture of pyruvic acid, tetrahydrofuran, and water gave the desired products only in yields of up to 60%. Ketones such as 17 have recently been used as building blocks for natural product synthesis.⁴¹

Since α -aryl hydrazones can serve as substrates for Fischer indole synthesis, we warmed a solution of azo compound **6m** in acetic acid for 6 h to 90 °C. The desired indole **18** was isolated in 49% yield with the former hydroxy group of **6m** protected as an acetate.⁴² The synthesis of electron-rich indoles such as **18** is often complicated by their instability toward strong acids,

(41) Chevenier, E.; Lucatelli, C.; Lucatelli, U.; Wang, W.; Gimbert, Y.; Greene, A. E. *Synlett* **2004**, 2693–2696.

(42) Strohmeier, J.; v. Angerer, E. Arch. Pharm. 1985, 318, 421-431.

⁽³⁷⁾ For the effective carbodiazenylation of lipophilic olefins, the amount of water added to reaction mixture (method E) has to be reduced. This modification leads to prolonged reaction times of 1-2 h.

⁽³⁸⁾ Hydrolytic cleavage of hydrazones to ketones: (a) Severin, T.; Poehlmann, H. *Chem. Ber.* **1978**, *111*, 1564–1577. (b) Kamal, A.; Rao, M. V.; Meshram, H. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, *32*, 2657–2658. (c) Nasreen, A.; Adapa, S. R. *Org. Prep. Proced. Int.* **1999**, *31*, 573–576. (d) Attanasi, O.; Gasperoni, S.; Carletti, C. *J. Prakt. Chem.* **1980**, *322*, 1063– 1066. (e) De, S. K. *Synth. Commun.* **2004**, *34*, 4409–4416.

⁽³⁹⁾ Oxidative cleavage of hydrazones: (a) Barton, D. H. R.; Jaszberenyi, J. C.; Shinada, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*, 7191–7194. (b) Barton, D. H. R.; Jaszberenyi, J. C.; Liu, W.; Shinada, T. *Tetrahedron* **1996**, *52*, 14673–14688.

⁽⁴⁰⁾ Bhakta, C. J. Ind. Chem. Soc. 1985, 62, 380-382.

which are generally used for indole cyclizations. Attempts to obtain indoles from **6m** with hydrochloric acid or trifluoroacetic acid at lower temperatures failed due to the instability of the product under stronger acidic conditions. Experiments with PCl₃,⁴³ which has been reported to be an effective reagent for indole cyclizations gave the desired heterocycles in lower yields.

The oxidation of *p*-methoxybenzyl derivatives to carboxylic acids was first reported by Sharpless.⁴⁴ Treatment of azo compound **6p** under similar conditions⁴⁵ gave the carboxylic acid **19** in 61% yield,⁴⁶ which shows that azo-substituted *p*-methoxyarenes are sufficiently stable under the oxidative conditions. The combination of carbodiazenylation and ruthenium periodate oxidation is therefore also a new strategy toward β -amino acid derivatives.

In summary, we have reported three titanium- and iron-based procedures (Methods C–E) for the reductive carbodiazenylation of nonactivated olefins. Donor- as well as acceptor-substituted anilines or aryldiazonium salts can now be used for the functionalization. The azo compounds that are obtained can serve as valuable precursors for numerous further transformations. The combination of the carbodiazenylation reaction with known methods opens new pathways for a quick and efficient access to β -arylamines, β -amino acids, ketones, and various heterocyclic structures including indoles. Almost all of the described compound types, especially the β -arylamines, have found numerous applications in medicinal chemistry. The carbodiazenylation methodology can therefore certainly facilitate the synthesis of new biologically active target molecules.

Experimental Section

Procedure for the Oxidative Carbodiazenylation (Method A). To solution of hydrazine **10** (2.0 mmol) in a degassed mixture of olefin (40 mmol) and MeOH (5 mL) was added solid ceric(IV)-ammonium nitrate (CAN) (3.3 g, 6.0 mmol) in one batch. The resulting mixture was stirred for 3 min at rt, diluted with water (50 mL), and extracted twice with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 50 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with water and brine and dried over sodium sulfate.

Procedure for the Thermal Reductive Carbodiazenylation (Method B). To a degassed mixture of allyl acetate (4 mL) and MeOH (4 mL) was added diazonium tetrafluoroborate **15a** (168 mg, 0.74 mmol). The resulting mixture was warmed to 60 °C for 30 min, diluted with water, and extracted twice with Et_2O (2 × 50 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with water and brine and dried over sodium sulfate. Purification by column chromatography gave azo compound **6b** (58 mg, 0.17 mmol, 45%) as a yellow oil.

Procedure for the Titanium(III)-Mediated Reductive Carbodiazenylation (Method C). To a solution of the aniline derivative (2.00 mmol) in 10% sulfuric acid (2.5 mL) at 0 °C was added dropwise a precooled solution of sodium nitrite (145 mg, 2.10 mmol) in water (0.5 mL). A precooled mixture of olefin (4.0 mL) and MeOH (1.0 mL) was added, and the resulting suspension was then treated dropwise with titanium(III) chloride (3.7 mL, 1.17 M aqueous solution, 4.40 mmol) over 15 min. The reaction mixture was stirred for additional 5 min at 0 °C. After the addition of water (50 mL), the aqueous phase was extracted three times with EtOAc or EtO₂ (3 × 50 mL, solvent depending on the polarity of the product). The combined organic phases were washed with water and brine and dried over sodium sulfate.

Procedure for the Titanium(III)/Iron(II)-Mediated Reductive Carbodiazenylation (Method D). To a solution of the aniline derivative (2.00 mmol) in 10% sulfuric acid (2.5 mL) at 0 °C was added dropwise a precooled solution of sodium nitrite (145 mg, 2.10 mmol) in water (0.5 mL). A precooled mixture of olefin (4.0 mL), MeOH (4.0 mL), and FeSO₄·2H₂O (1.50 g, 8.00 mmol) was added, and the resulting suspension was then treated dropwise with titanium(III) chloride (1.85 mL, 1.17 M aqueous solution, 2.20 mmol) over 15 min. The reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 15 min at 0 °C. After the addition of water (50 mL), the aqueous phase was extracted three times with EtOAc or Et₂O (3 × 50 mL, solvent depending on the polarity of the product). The combined organic phases were washed with water and brine and dried over sodium sulfate.

Aryldiazonium tetrafluoroborates were prepared by diazotation of corresponding anilines with sodium nitrite in 48% HBF₄ according to literature procedures.^{31f}

Procedure for the Iron(II)-Mediated Reductive Carbodiazenylation (Method E). To a degassed solution of diazonium tetrafluoroborate 15 (2.25 mmol) in DMSO (5 mL) were added olefin 3 (6.00 mmol), FeSO₄·7H₂O (940 mg, 3.38 mmol), and water (1 mL) under argon atmosphere. After being stirred for 15 min at rt, the mixture was diluted with water (50 mL) and extracted with EtOAc or Et₂O (2 × 50 mL, solvent depending on the polarity of the product). The combined organic phases were washed with water and brine and dried over sodium sulfate.

Acetic Acid 3-Phenyl-2-phenylazopropyl Ester (6a) (Methods A, D, and E). Purification by column chromatography (100% P → P/EtOAc = 10:1) gave 6a as a yellow oil: $R_f = 0.20$ (P/EtOAc = 20:1); ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 360 MHz) $\delta = 1.55$ (s, 3 H), 2.91 (dd, ³J = 6.8 Hz, ²J = 13.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.06 (dd, ³J = 7.6 Hz, ²J = 13.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.42 (dd, ³J = 3.8 Hz, ²J = 11.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.65 (dd, ³J = 8.1 Hz, ²J = 11.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.98-7.20 (m, 8 H), 7.77 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, 90 MHz) $\delta = 20.2$ (CH₃), 36.9 (CH₂), 64.8 (CH₂), 77.4 (CH), 122.8 (2 × CH), 126.7 (CH), 128.7 (2 × CH), 129.2 (2 × CH), 129.8 (2 × CH), 130.8 (CH), 137.7 (C_q), 152.5 (C_q), 169.9 (C_q); MS (EI) *m/z* 282 (1) [M⁺], 222 (2), 177 (10), 117 (26), 105 (92), 77 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₁₇H₁₈N₂O₂ [M⁺] 282.1380, found 282.1368.

Acetic Acid 3-(2-Chlorophenyl)-2-(2-chlorophenylazo)propyl Ester (6b) (Methods A, B, C, and D). Purification by column chromatography (100% $P \rightarrow P/EtOAc = 10:1$) gave **6b** as a yellow oil: $R_f = 0.20$ (P/EtOAc = 20:1); ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 360 MHz) δ 1.60 (s, 3 H), 3.12 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 5.9$ Hz, ${}^{2}J = 14.0$ Hz, 1 H), 3.26 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 7.6$ Hz, ${}^{2}J = 14.0$ Hz, 1 H), 4.43 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 3.2$ Hz, ${}^{2}J = 11.0$ Hz, 1 H), 4.51–4.59 (m, 1 H), 4.63 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 7.7$ Hz, ${}^{2}J = 11.0$ Hz, 1 H), 6.69–6.80 (m, 4 H), 6.88 (dd, ${}^{4}J$ = 1.8 Hz, ${}^{3}J$ = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.08–7.14 (m, 2 H), 7.36 (dd, ${}^{4}J$ = 1.6 Hz, ${}^{3}J$ = 7.7 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, 90 MHz) δ 20.2 (CH₃), 34.0 (CH₂), 64.4 (CH₂), 76.2 (CH), 118.3 (CH), 126.9 (CH), 127.3 (CH), 128.2 (CH), 129.9 (CH), 130.6 (CH), 131.5 (CH), 131.9 (CH), 134.3 (C_a), 134.7 (C_a) , 135.5 (C_a) , 148.7 (C_a) , 169.9 (C_a) ; MS (EI) m/z 350 (<1) $[M^+, C_{17}H_{16}{}^{35}Cl_2N_2O_2], 210 (4), 169 (7), 167 (20), 141 (4), 139$ (10), 127 (34), 125 (100), 111 (6); HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{17}H_{16}^{35}$ -Cl₂N₂O₂ [M⁺] 350.0589, found 305.0603.

(1*R*,2*S*,3*S*,4*S*)- and (1*S*,2*R*,3*R*,4*R*)-Phenyl(3-phenylbicyclo-[2.2.1]hept-2-yl)diazene (6c) (Method A). Purification by column chromatography (100% P → P/EtOAc = 10:1) gave 6c as a yellow oil: $R_f = 0.45$ (P/EtOAc = 20:1); ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 600 MHz) δ 1.16−1.20 (m, 2 H), 1.36 (d, ²J = 9.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.47−1.50 (m, 2 H), 2.33 (s, 1 H), 2.64 (s, 1 H), 2.79 (d, ²J = 9.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.99 (d, ³J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.12 (d, ³J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.90−6.95 (m, 2 H), 7.00 (t, ³J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.04 (t, ³J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.10 (d, ³J

⁽⁴³⁾ Baccolini, G.; Dalpozzo, R.; Todesco, P. E. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1988, 971–974.

⁽⁴⁴⁾ Carlsen, P. H. J.; Katsuki, T.; Martin, V. S.; Sharpless, B. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 3936–3938.

⁽⁴⁵⁾ Kim, B. J.; Park, Y. S.; Beak, P. J. Org. Chem. **1999**, 64, 1705–1708.

⁽⁴⁶⁾ Yield based on recovered starting material. Though additional $RuCl_3$ was added to the reaction mixture, no complete conversion was observed. An experiment over 24 h without further addition of $RuCl_3$ gave 41% of 19 and 42% of recovered 6p (65% yield based on recovered starting material).

= 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.27 (d, ${}^{3}J$ = 7.8 Hz, 2 H); ${}^{13}C$ NMR (C₆D₆, 90 MHz) δ = 26.3 (CH₂), 31.2 (CH₂), 36.2 (CH₂), 41.3 (CH), 43.7 (CH), 53.9 (CH), 84.7 (CH), 122.4 (2 × CH), 125.6 (CH), 128.1 (2 × CH), 128.8 (2 × CH), 129.0 (2 × CH), 129.8 (CH), 141.2 (C_q), 153.1 (C_q); MS (EI) *m/z* 276 (27) [M⁺], 171 (55), 105 (37), 91 (100), 77 (48); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₁₉H₂₀N₂ [M⁺] 276.1627, found 276.1623.

Acetic Acid 3-(4-Carboxy-3-chlorophenyl)-2-(4-carboxy-3chlorophenylazo)propyl Ester (6d). Purification by column chromatography (CHCl₃/MeOH = 7:3) gave **6d** as a yellow foam: R_f = 0.70 (CHCl₃/MeOH = 2:1); ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 360 MHz) δ 1.97 (s, 3 H), 3.19 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 5.5$ Hz, ${}^{2}J = 14.0$ Hz, 1 H), 3.28 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 8.5$ Hz, ${}^{2}J = 14.0$ Hz, 1 H), 4.20–4.25 (m, 1 H), 4.49 (dd, ${}^{3}J$ = 3.5 Hz, ${}^{2}J$ = 11.5 Hz, 1 H) 4.59 (dd, ${}^{3}J$ = 8.0 Hz, ${}^{2}J$ = 11.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.11 (dd, ${}^{4}J = 1.8$ Hz, ${}^{3}J = 7.9$ Hz, 1 H), 7.24 (d, ${}^{4}J = 1.8$ Hz, 1 H), 7.51 (d, ${}^{3}J = 7.9$ Hz, 1 H), 7.55 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 1.8$ Hz, ${}^{2}J =$ 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.58 (d, ${}^{4}J = 1.8$ Hz, 1 H), 7.63 (d, ${}^{3}J = 7.9$ Hz, 1 H); ¹³CNMR (CD₃OD, 90 MHz) δ 20.6 (CH₃), 36.6 (CH₂), 65.6 (CH₂), 78.2 (CH), 122.3 (CH), 123.9 (CH), 128.9 (CH), 130.5 (CH), 130.7 (CH), 132.2 (CH), 132.4 (Cq), 132.5 (Cq), 136.7 (Cq), 141.6 ClN₂O₆]; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₁₉H₁₆³⁵Cl₂N₂O₆ [M⁺] 437.0307, found 437.0299.

4-[3-Acetoxy-2-(4-methoxycarbonylphenylazo)propyl]benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (6e). Purification by column chromatography (P/EtOAc = 3:1) gave **6e** as a yellow solid: $R_f = 0.60$ (P/EtOAc = 2:1); mp = 88 °C; ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 360 MHz) δ 1.60 (s, 3 H), 2.83 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 6.3$ Hz, ${}^{2}J = 13.9$ Hz, 1 H), 3.00 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 7.9$ Hz, ${}^{2}J = 13.9$ Hz, 1 H), 3.48 (s, 3 H), 3.51 (s, 3 H), 4.20-4.28 (m, 1 H), 4.39 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 3.6$ Hz, ${}^{2}J = 11.5$ Hz, 1 H) 4.56 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 7.9$ Hz, ${}^{2}J = 11.5$ Hz, 1 H), 6.92 (d, ${}^{3}J = 8.3$ Hz, 2 H), 7.63 (d, ${}^{3}J =$ 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 8.01 (d, ${}^{3}J = 8.3$ Hz, 2 H), 8.07 (d, ${}^{3}J = 8.6$ Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, 90 MHz) δ 20.2 (CH₃), 36.5 (CH₂), 51.5 (CH₃), 51.8 (CH₃), 64.5 (CH₂), 77.3 (CH), 122.5 (2 × CH), 129.3 (C_q), 129.8 (2 × CH), 130.1 (2 × CH), 130.9 (2 × CH), 132.6 (C_q) , 142.7 (C_q) , 154.5 (C_q) , 165.9 (C_q) , 166.4 (C_q) , 169.8 (C_q) ; MŠ (EI) *m*/*z* 398 (6) [M⁺], 367 (5), 279 (5), 235 (10), 163 (72), 136 (10), 135 (100), 102 (10); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₂₁H₂₂N₂O₆ [M⁺] 398.1478, found 398.1472.

2-[3-Acetoxy-2-(2-methoxycarbonylphenylazo)propyl]benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (6f). Purification by column chromatography (P/EtOAc = 3:1) gave **6f** as a yellow oil: $R_f = 0.25$ (P/EtOAc = 4:1); ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 360 MHz) δ 1.69 (s, 3 H), 3.46 (s, 3 H), 3.48 (s, 3 H), 3.60 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 7.9$ Hz, ${}^{2}J = 13.5$ Hz, 1 H), 3.71 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 5.8$ Hz, ${}^{2}J = 13.5$ Hz, 1 H), 4.56 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 3.8$ Hz, ${}^{2}J = 11.1$ Hz, 1 H) 4.58–4.65 (m, 1 H), 4.78 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 7.6$ Hz, ${}^{2}J = 11.1$ Hz, 1 H), 6.87-6.93 (m, 2 H), 6.95-7.04 (m, 3 H), 7.23 (dd, ${}^{4}J =$ 1.1 Hz, ${}^{3}J = 7.9$ Hz, 1 H), 7.61 (dd, ${}^{4}J = 1.3$ Hz, ${}^{3}J = 7.7$ Hz, 1 H), 7.88 (d, ${}^{3}J = 7.9$ Hz, 1 H); ${}^{13}C$ NMR (C₆D₆, 90 MHz) δ 20.4 (CH₃), 35.0 (CH₂), 51.5 (CH₃), 51.8 (CH₃), 64.8 (CH₂), 77.6 (CH), 119.2 (CH), 126.8 (CH), 128.8 (Cq), 129.3 (CH), 129.8 (CH), 130.4 (C_a), 131.4 (CH), 131.8 (CH), 132.0 (CH), 132.7 (CH), 140.1 (C_a), 152.1 (C_q), 167.2 (C_q), 167.5 (C_q), 170.0 (C_q); MS (EI) m/z 398 (8) [M⁺], 279 (6), 235 (22), 163 (65), 135 (100), 115 (8), 91 (12); HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{21}H_{22}N_2O_6$ [M⁺] 398.1478, found 398.1472.

Acetic Acid 3-(4-Trifluoromethyl-phenyl)-2-(4-trifluoromethylphenylazo)propyl Ester (6g). Purification by column chromatography (P/EtOAc = 10:1) gave 6g as a yellow solid: $R_f = 0.25$ (P/EtOAc = 10:1); mp = 79 °C; ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 360 MHz) δ 1.63 (s, 3 H), 2.78 (dd, ³J = 2.5 Hz, ²J = 13.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.95 (dd, ³J = 7.9 Hz, ²J = 13.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.14–4.23 (m, 1 H), 4.33 (dd, ³J = 4.0 Hz, ²J = 11.5 Hz, 1 H) 4.49 (dd, ³J = 7.9 Hz, 2 H), 7.31 (d, ³J = 7.9 Hz, 2 H), 7.48 (d, ³J = 7.9 Hz, 2 H); ¹³CNMR (C₆D₆, 90 MHz) δ 20.0 (CH₃), 36.1 (CH₂), 64.2 (CH₂), 77.0 (CH), 122.8 (2×CH), 124.4 (q, ¹J_{CF} = 273.0 Hz, 2 × CH), 126.5 (q, ³J_{CF} = 3.9

Hz, 2 × CH), 129.2 (q, ${}^{2}J_{CF}$ = 32.2 Hz, C_q), 130.0 (2 × CH), 132.5 (q, ${}^{2}J_{CF}$ = 32.3 Hz, C_q), 141.7 (C_q), 153.8 (C_q), 169.8 (C_q); ¹⁹F NMR (C₆D₆, 235 MHz) δ -62.2 (CF₃), -62.5 (CF₃); MS (EI) m/z 418 (3) [M⁺], 399 (3), 339 (4) 328 (6), 305 (8), 245 (18), 201 (8), 185 (14), 173 (62), 159 (59), 145 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₁₉H₁₆F₆N₂O₂ [M⁺] 418.1116, found 418.1117.

4-[2-(4-Methoxycarbonylphenylazo)-3-cyanopropyl]benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (6h). Purification by column chromatography (P/EtOAc = 3:1) gave **6h** as a yellow solid: $R_f = 0.25$ (P/EtOAc = 3:1); mp = 74 °C; ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 360 MHz) δ 2.13 (dd, ³J = 5.0 Hz, ${}^{2}J = 16.6$ Hz, 1 H), 2.19 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 5.9$ Hz, ${}^{2}J = 16.6$ Hz, 1 H), 2.84 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 6.8$ Hz, ${}^{2}J = 14.0$ Hz, 1 H), 2.99 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 7.2$ Hz, ${}^{2}J = 14.0$ Hz, 1 H), 3.54 (s, 3 H), 3.56 (s, 3 H), 3.93-4.02 (m, 1 H), 6.93 (d, ${}^{3}J = 8.2$ Hz, 2 H), 7.61 (d, ${}^{3}J = 8.2$ Hz, 2 H), 7.99 (d, ${}^{3}J = 8.2$ Hz, 2 H), 8.06 (d, ${}^{3}J = 8.2$ Hz, 2 H); ${}^{13}C$ NMR (C₆D₆, 90 MHz) δ 20.1 (CH₂), 38.4 (CH₂), 51.5 (CH₃), 51.8 (CH₃), 73.0 (CH), 117.0 (C_q), 122.6 (2 × CH), 129.5 (C_q), 129.8 (2 × CH), 130.2 (2 × CH), 130.9 (2 × CH), 132.9 (C_q), 141.9 (C_q), 154.0 (C_q) , 165.9 (C_q) , 166.4 (C_q) ; MS (EI) m/z 365 (11) $[M^+]$, 334 (8), 242 (4), 211 (5), 188 (5), 163 (76), 151 (12), 149 (16), 136 (12), 135 (100), 120 (16), 103 (15); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₂₀H₁₉N₃O₄ [M⁺] 365.1375, found 365.1370.

4-[2-(4-Methoxycarbonylphenylazo)-5-oxohexyl]benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (6i). Purification by column chromatography (P/ EtOAc = 3:1) gave **6i** as a yellow oil: $R_f = 0.30$ (P/EtOAc = 3:1); ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 360 MHz) δ 1.60 (s, 3 H), 1.93–2.05 (m, 3 H), 2.11–2.20 (m, 1 H), 2.84 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 5.6$ Hz, ${}^{2}J = 13.8$ Hz, 1 H), 3.08 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 7.9$ Hz, ${}^{2}J = 13.8$ Hz, 1 H), 3.48 (s, 3 H), 3.49 (s, 3 H), 3.86-3.92 (m, 1 H), 6.97 (d, ${}^{3}J = 8.3$ Hz, 2 H), 7.60 (d, ${}^{3}J = 9.0$ Hz, 2 H), 7.98 (d, ${}^{3}J = 8.3$ Hz, 2 H), 8.06 (d, ${}^{3}J = 9.0$ Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, 90.6 MHz) δ 27.4 (CH₂), 29.4 (CH₃), 39.6 (CH₂), 40.3 (CH₂), 51.5 (CH₃), 51.8 (CH₃), 78.4 (CH), 122.4 $(2 \times CH)$, 129.0 (C_q), 129.9 (2 × CH), 130.0 (2 × CH), 130.9 (2 × CH), 132.4 (C_q), 143.9 (C_q), 154.5 (C_q), 166.0 (C_q), 166.5 (C_q), 205.6 (C_q); MS (EI) m/z 396 (2) [M⁺], 365 (10), 234 (11), 233 (71), 201 (68), 175 (12), 163 (34), 149 (20), 135 (100), 120 (25); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₂₂H₂₄N₂O₅ [M⁺] 396.1685, found 396.1706; HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{21}H_{21}N_2O_4$ [M⁺ - CH₃O] 365.1501, found 365.1498.

4-[3-Acetoxy-2-methyl-2-(4-methoxycarbonylphenylazo)propyl]benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (6j). Purification by column chromatography (P/EtOAc = 4:1) gave **6j** as a yellow oil: $R_f =$ 0.60 (P/EtOAc = 4:1); ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 250 MHz) δ 1.14 (s, 3 H), 1.64 (s, 3 H), 2.94 (d, ${}^{2}J = 13.3$ Hz, 1 H), 3.06 (d, ${}^{2}J = 13.3$ Hz, 1 H), 3.49 (s, 3 H), 3.50 (s, 3 H), 4.41 (s, 2 H), 6.95 (d, ${}^{3}J = 8.3$ Hz, 2 H), 7.61 (d, ${}^{3}J = 8.8$ Hz, 2 H), 7.97 (d, ${}^{3}J = 8.3$ Hz, 2 H), 8.09 (d, ${}^{3}J = 8.8$ Hz, 2 H); ${}^{13}C$ NMR (C₆D₆, 63 MHz) δ 19.6 (CH₃), 20.3 (CH₃), 42.1 (CH₂), 51.6 (CH₃), 51.8 (CH₃), 67.9 (CH₂), 73.3 (Cq), 122.4 (2 \times CH), 129.3 (Cq), 129.7 (2 \times CH), 130.9 (2 \times CH), 131.0 (2 × CH), 132.4 (C_q), 142.1 (C_q), 154.4 (C_q), 165.9 (C_q), 166.5 (C_q), 169.8 (C_q); MS (EI) m/z 382 (2) [M⁺ – CH₂O], 381 (8) [M⁺ – CH₃O], 249 (34), 217 (23), 207 (34), 189 (26), 175 (25), 163 (53), 149 (34), 135 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₂₂H₂₄N₂O₆ [M⁺] 412.1634, found 412.1626; HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{21}H_{21}N_2O_5$ [M⁺ – CH₃O] 381.1450, found 381.1445.

4-[3-Hydroxy-2-methyl-2-(4-methoxycarbonylphenylazo)propyl]benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (6k). Purification by column chromatography (P/EtOAc = 2:1) gave **6k** as a yellow oil: $R_f =$ 0.50 (P/EtOAc = 2:1); ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 360 MHz) δ 1.10 (s, 3 H), 2.97 (d, ²J = 13.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.07 (d, ²J = 13.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.48 (s, 3 H), 3.49 (s, 3 H), 3.62 (d, ²J = 11.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.69 (d, ²J = 11.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.10 (d, ³J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.54 (d, ³J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 8.03 (d, ³J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 8.10 (d, ³J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, 90 MHz) δ 19.6 (CH₃), 41.5 (CH₂), 51.6 (CH₃), 51.8 (CH₃), 66.9 (CH₂), 75.2 (C_q), 122.4 (2 × CH), 129.2 (C_q), 129.7 (2 × CH), 130.9 (2 × CH), 131.2 (2 × CH), 132.4 (C_q), 142.9 (C_q), 154.4 (C_q), 166.0 (C_q), 166.7 (C_q); MS (EI) *m*/*z* 340 (34) [M⁺ – CH₂O], 309 (13), 207 (36), 175 (28), 163 (25), 151 (34), 150 (23), 149 (100), 136 (15), 135 (58), 122 (15), 121 (28), 120 (18); HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{20}H_{22}N_2O_5\,[M^+]$ 370.1529, found 370.1510; HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{19}H_{20}N_2O_4\,[M^+\,-\,CH_2O]$ 340.1423, found 340.1421.

3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-(4-methoxyphenylazo)-2-methylpropan-1-ol (6l). Purification by column chromatography (P/EtOAc = 4:1 \rightarrow 2:1) gave **6l** as a yellow oil: $R_f = 0.30$ (P/EtOAc = 3:1); ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 360 MHz) δ 1.24 (s, 3 H), 2.97 (d, ²*J* = 13.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.11 (d, ²*J* = 13.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.74–3.79 (m, 2 H), 3.77 (s, 3 H), 3.84 (s, 3 H), 6.82 (d, ³*J* = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 6.97 (d, ³*J* = 9.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.16 (d, ³*J* = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.71 (d, ³*J* = 9.0 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, 90 MHz) δ 19.5 (CH₃), 40.6 (CH₂), 54.9 (CH₃), 55.3 (CH₃), 66.9 (CH₂), 73.1 (C_q), 113.2 (2 × CH), 113.9 (2 × CH), 123.7 (2 × CH), 129.0 (C_q), 131.5 (2 × CH), 145.7 (C_q), 158.0 (C_q), 161.5 (C_q); MS (EI) *m*/*z* 314 (6) [M⁺], 284 (5), 250 (5), 206 (7), 179 (26), 135 (46), 121 (100), 107 (47), 77 (12); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₁₈H₂₂N₂O₃ [M⁺] 314.1631, found 314.1621.

Acetic Acid 3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-(4-methoxyphenylazo)propyl Ester (6m). Purification by column chromatography (P/ EtOAc = 4:1) gave **6m** as a yellow oil: $R_f = 0.60$ (P/EtOAc = 3:1); ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 360 MHz) δ 1.57 (s, 3 H), 2.93 (dd, ³J = 6.8 Hz, ${}^{2}J = 14.0$ Hz, 1 H), 3.08 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 7.2$ Hz, ${}^{2}J = 14.0$ Hz, 1 H), 3.18 (s, 3 H), 3.26 (s, 3 H), 4.32-4.36 (m, 1 H), 4.48 (dd, ${}^{3}J$ = 3.8 Hz, ${}^{2}J$ = 11.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.71 (dd, ${}^{3}J$ = 8.3 Hz, ${}^{2}J$ = 11.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.68 (d, ${}^{3}J$ = 9.0 Hz, 2 H), 6.69 (d, ${}^{3}J$ = 9.0 Hz, 2 H), 6.96 (d, ${}^{3}J = 9.0$ Hz, 2 H), 7.84 (d, ${}^{3}J = 9.0$ Hz, 2 H); ${}^{13}C$ NMR (C₆D₆, 90 MHz) δ 20.3 (CH₃), 36.3 (CH₂), 54.7 (CH₃), 55.0 (CH₃), 65.1 (CH₂), 77.3 (CH), 114.2 (2 × CH), 114.3 (2 × CH), 124.7 (2 × CH), 129.6 (C_q), 130.8 (2 × CH), 146.7 (C_q), 158.8 (C_q), 161.2 (C_q) , 170.0 (C_q) ; MS (EI) m/z 342 (4) $[M^+]$, 207 (14), 147 (35), 135 (96), 121 (35), 108 (15), 107 (100), 92 (16), 91 (14), 77 (51); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{19}H_{23}N_2O_4\ [M^+$ + H] 343.1653, found 343.1643.

4-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenylazo)butan-1-ol (6n). Purification by column chromatography (P/EtOAc = 2:1→1:1) gave **6n** as a yellow oil: $R_f = 0.40$ (P/EtOAc = 1:1); ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 250 MHz) δ 1.93−2.04 (m, 1 H), 2.11−2.23 (m, 1H), 2.96 (dd, ³J = 6.3 Hz, ²J = 13.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.18 (dd, ³J = 7.6 Hz, ²J = 13.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 3.28 (s, 3H), 3.48−3.59 (m, 2 H), 4.17−4.26 (m, 1 H), 6.68 (d, ³J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 6.71 (d, ³J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.01 (d, ³J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.77 (d, ³J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, 90 MHz) δ 36.3 (CH₂), 39.8 (CH₂), 54.7 (CH₃), 55.0 (CH₃), 59.7 (CH₂), 76.2 (CH), 114.1 (2 × CH), 114.4 (2 × CH), 124.5 (2 × CH), 130.8 (C_q), 130.9 (2 × CH), 146.6 (C_q), 158.6 (C_q), 162.0 (C_q); MS (EI) *m*/*z* 314 (13) [M⁺], 294 (8), 194 (10), 179 (32), 161 (25), 135 (97), 121 (73), 107 (100), 77 (21); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₁₈H₂₂N₂O₃ [M⁺] 314.1631, found 314.1622.

6-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-5-(4-methoxyphenylazo)hexan-2-one (60). Purification by column chromatography (P/EtOAc = 3:1) gave **60** as a yellow oil: $R_f = 0.30$ (P/EtOAc = 4:1); ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 500 MHz) δ 1.56 (s, 3 H), 1.95–2.15 (m, 3 H), 2.22–2.32 (m, 1 H), 2.93 (dd, ³*J* = 6.3 Hz, ²*J* = 13.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.15 (dd, ³*J* = 7.3 Hz, ²*J* = 13.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.25 (s, 3 H), 3.29 (s, 3 H), 3.86–3.94 (m, 1 H), 6.70 (d, ³*J* = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 6.73 (d, ³*J* = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.01 (d, ³*J* = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.80 (d, ³*J* = 9.0 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, 90 MHz) δ 27.4 (CH₂), 29.4 (CH₃), 39.9 (CH₂), 40.0 (CH₂), 54.7 (CH₃), 55.0 (CH₃), 78.4 (CH), 114.1 (2 × CH), 114.4 (2 × CH), 124.5 (2 × CH), 130.7 (C_q); 130.9 (2 × CH), 146.6 (C_q), 158.7 (C_q), 162.0 (C_q), 205.9 (C_q); MS (EI) *m*/*z* 340 (14) [M⁺], 205 (47), 147 (68), 135 (98), 121 (22), 107 (100), 92 (6), 91 (6), 77 (16); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₂₀H₂₄N₂O₃ [M⁺] 340.1787, found 340.1787.

Acetic Acid 3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-(4-methoxyphenylazo)-2-methylpropyl Ester (6p). Purification by column chromatography (P/EtOAc = 4:1) gave 6p as a yellow oil: $R_f = 0.45$ (P/EtOAc = 4:1); ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 360 MHz) δ 1.30 (s, 3 H), 1.66 (s, 3 H), 3.06 (d, ²J = 13.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.13 (d, ²J = 13.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.24 (s, 3 H), 3.29 (s, 3 H), 4.51 (d, ²J = 11.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.57 (d, ²J = 11.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.68 (d, ³J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 6.73 (d, ³J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 6.98 (d, ³J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.81 (d, ³J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, 90 MHz) δ 19.9 (CH₃), 20.4 (CH₃), 41.9 (CH₂), 54.7 (CH₃), 55.0 (CH₃), 68.4 (CH₂), 72.2 (C_q), 113.8 (2 × CH), 114.3 (2 × CH), 124.5 (2 × CH), 128.9 (C_q), 132.0 (2 × CH), 146.5 (C_q), 158.9 (C_q), 162.0 (C_q), 170.0 (C_q); MS (EI) m/z 356 (6) [M⁺], 221 (31), 161 (46), 135 (100), 121 (48), 107 (84), 92 (5), 77 (12); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₂₀H₂₄N₂O₄ [M⁺] 356.1736, found 356.1726.

3-(2,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2-(2,5-dimethoxyphenylazo)-2-methylpropan-1-ol (6q). Purification by column chromatography (P/ EtOAc = 2:1 \rightarrow 1:1) gave **6q** as a yellow oil: $R_f = 0.60$ (P/EtOAc = 1:1); ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 360 MHz) δ 1.46 (s, 3 H), 3.27 (s, 3 H), 3.33 (s, 3 H), 3.34 (d, ${}^{2}J = 13.5$ Hz, 1 H), 3.37 (s, 6 H), 3.44 (d, ${}^{2}J = 13.5$ Hz, 1 H), 3.93 - 3.98 (m, 2 H), 6.47 (d, ${}^{3}J = 9.0$ Hz, 1 H), 6.55 (d, ${}^{3}J = 9.0$ Hz, 1 H), 6.66 (dd, ${}^{4}J = 3.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J = 9.0$ Hz, 1 H), 6.84 (dd, ${}^{4}J = 3.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J = 9.0$ Hz, 1 H), 7.03 (d, ${}^{3}J = 3.2$ Hz, 1 H), 7.25 (d, ${}^{3}J$ = 3.2 Hz, 1 H); ${}^{13}C$ NMR (C₆D₆, 90 MHz) δ 20.6 (CH₃), 35.4 (CH₂), 55.2 (CH₃), 55.3 (CH₃), 55.5 (CH₃), 56.5 (CH₃), 67.3 (CH₂), 75.4 (C_q), 101.0 (CH), 111.7 (CH), 112.9 (CH), 114.6 (CH), 118.8 (CH), 118.9 (CH), 127.3 (C_q), 141.7 (C_q), 151.5 (C_q) , 152.6 (C_q) , 153.9 (C_q) , 154.4 (C_q) ; MS (EI) m/z 374 (10) $[M^+]$, 344 (47), 209 (22), 208 (11), 165 (32), 153 (29), 152 (18), 151 (100), 138 (19), 137 (14), 121 (29), 109 (12), 107 (16), 91 (10); HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{20}H_{26}N_2O_5$ [M⁺] 374.1842, found 374.1825.

(3-Phenyl-2-phenylazopropyl)carbamic Acid *tert*-Butyl Ester (6r). Purification by column chromatography (P/EtOAc = 10:1) gave 6r as a yellow oil: $R_f = 0.70$ (P/EtOAc = 4:1); ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 360 MHz) δ 1.38 (s, 9 H), 2.90 (dd, ³J = 6.1 Hz, ²J = 13.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.10 (dd, ³J = 7.6 Hz, ²J = 13.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.41–3.51 (m, 1 H), 3.57–3.64 (m, 1 H), 4.05–4.14 (m, 1 H), 4.40 (br s, 1 H), 6.92–7.08 (m, 8 H), 7.69 (d, ³J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, 90 MHz) δ 28.4 (3 × CH₃), 37.8 (CH₂), 43.2 (CH₂), 78.7 (CH), 78.8 (C_q), 122.8 (2 × CH), 126.5 (CH), 128.6 (2 × CH), 129.1 (2 × CH), 129.8 (2 × CH), 130.7 (CH), 138.2 (C_q), 152.4 (C_q), 155.7 (C_q); MS (EI) *m*/z 339 (24) [M⁺], 283 (92), 266 (20), 222 (28), 221 (33), 178 (48), 130 (30), 117 (32), 105 (47), 93 (100), 92 (37), 91 (57), 77 (52); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₂₀H₂₅N₃O₂ [M⁺] 339.1947, found 339.1952.

2-(3-Hydroxy-2-oxopropyl)benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (17). To a solution of 6f (220 mg, 0.55 mmol) in methanol (10 mL) was added potassium carbonate (96 mg, 0.70 mmol), and the resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The mixture was diluted with water and extracted with dichloromethane $(2\times)$. The combined organic phases were dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to give pure α -hydroxyhydrazone¹⁰ (197 mg) in essentially quantitative yield. A solution of the hydrazone (150 mg, 0.42 mmol) in dioxane (5 mL) and water (0.5 mL) was then treated with oxalic acid dihydrate (700 mg, 5.55 mmol) and stirred at 70 °C for 4 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted twice with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were washed with water and brine and dried over sodium sulfate. Purification by column chromatography (P/EtOAc = $3:1 \rightarrow 2:1$) gave 17 (67 mg, 0.32 mg, 77%) as a light yellow solid: $R_f = 0.20$ (P/EtOAc = 2:1); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz,) δ 3.08 (br s, 1 H), 3.84 (s, 3 H), 4.06 (s, 2 H), 4.39 (s, 2 H), 7.24 (d, ${}^{3}J$ = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.38 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 7.5$ Hz, ${}^{3}J = 7.5$ Hz, 1 H), 7.51 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 7.5$ Hz, ${}^{3}J = 7.5$ Hz, 1 H), 8.04 (d, ${}^{3}J = 7.5$ Hz, 1 H); ${}^{13}C$ NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz) δ 44.8 (CH₂), 52.1 (CH₃), 68.0 (CH₂), 127.7 (CH), 128.8 (C_q) , 131.2 (CH), 132.7 (2 × CH), 135.3 (C_q), 167.2 (C_q), 206.9 (C_{a}) ; MS (EI) m/z 208 (1) [M⁺], 177 (100), 149 (98), 111 (39), 118 (24), 91 (44), 84 (58); HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{10}H_9O_3$ [M⁺ – CH₃O] 177.0552, found 177.0548.

Acetic Acid 2-[5-Methoxy-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1*H*-indol-2yl]ethyl Ester (18). A solution of alcohol **6n** (210 mg, 0.67 mmol) in acetic acid was stirred at 90 °C for 6 h and was then concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in dichloromethane and washed with satd sodium carbonate, water, and brine. Drying over sodium sulfate, concentration under reduced pressure, and purification by column chromatography (P/EtOAc = 3:1) gave indole **18** (111 mg, 0.33 mmol, 49%) as an instable light brown oil: $R_f = 0.60$ (P/EtOAc = 2:1); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz) δ 2.09 (s, 3 H), 3.14 (t, ³J = 6.5 Hz, 2 H), 3.83 (s, 3 H), 3.89 (s, 3 H), 4.34 (t, ${}^{3}J$ = 6.5 Hz, 2 H), 6.87 (dd, ${}^{4}J$ = 2.5 Hz, ${}^{3}J$ = 8.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.04 (d, ${}^{3}J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.07 (d, ${}^{3}J$ = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.24 (d, ${}^{3}J$ = 8.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.41 (d, ${}^{3}J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 8.31 (br s, 1 H); ${}^{13}C$ NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz) δ 21.0 (CH₃), 26.0 (CH₃), 52.2 (CH₃), 55.9 (CH₃), 63.8 (CH₂), 101.0 (CH), 111.3 (CH), 111.9 (CH), 114.1 (2 × CH), 115.2 (C_q), 127.2 (C_q), 128.2 (C_q), 130.4 (C_q), 130.6 (2×CH), 132.1 (C_q), 154.4 (C_q), 158.1 (C_q), 170.9 (C_q); MS (EI) m/z 339 (88) [M⁺], 279 (78), 278 (68), 264 (51), 248 (100), 236 (28), 235 (49), 234 (26), 220 (22), 192 (22), 191 (16); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₂₀H₂₁NO₄ [M⁺] 339.1471, found 339.1468.

4-Acetoxy-3-(4-methoxyphenylazo)-3-methylbutyric Acid (19). To a solution of azo compound **6p** (187 mg, 0.52 mmol) in CCl₄ (2 mL), CH₃CN (2 mL), and water (2.5 mL) were added sodium metaperiodate (1.60 g, 7.48 mmol) and RuCl₃·H₂O (8 mg). After the mixture was stirred for 1.5 h at room temperature, additional CCl₄ (2 mL), CH₃CN (2 mL), and water (2.5 mL) as well as RuCl₃·H₂O (4 mg) were added, and stirring was continued for another 2 h. The mixture was diluted with water and extracted twice with CH₂Cl₂. Drying over Na₂SO₄, concentration under reduced presssure, and purification by column chromatography (CHCl₃/MeOH = 7:1) gave azo compounds **6p** (42 mg, 0.12 mmol, 23%) and **19** (74 mg, 0.25 mmol, 48%) as yellow oils: $R_{\rm f} = 0.30$ (CHCl₃/MeOH = 10:1); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz) δ 1.44 (s, 3 H), 2.02 (s, 3 H), 2.82 (d, ²J = 15.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.91 (d, ²J = 15.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.86 (s, 3 H), 4.45 (d, ²J = 11.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.58 (d, ²J = 11.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.95 (d, ³J = 9.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.68 (d, ³J = 9.0 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz) δ 20.7 (CH₃), 20.8 (CH₃), 39.7 (CH₂), 55.6 (CH₃), 68.1 (CH₂), 69.9 (C_q), 114.1 (2 × CH), 124.2 (2 × CH), 145.4 (C_q), 162.1 (C_q), 170.7 (C_q); MS (ESI) *m*/z 295 [M⁺ + H].

Acknowledgment. This project was financed by the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie (Liebig fellowship) and by the Leonhard-Lorenz-Stiftung. We are grateful to Prof. Dr. Thorsten Bach and his group for generous help and support.

Supporting Information Available: IR and 13 C NMR spectra for compounds **6a**-**r** and **17**-**19**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JO061919P